CRCRTH619 BIOMEDICAL ETHICS SUMMER 2010 UMASS | BOSTON MARK ROBINSON 773.494.5707 Session CE5, <u>MARKDROBINSON@GMAIL.COM</u> <<SCHEDULE OFFICE HOURS VIA EMAIL>>

## I. Course Description:

This course develops students' critical thinking about dilemmas in medicine and health care policy, such as those that arise around allocation of scarce resources, criteria for organ transplants, informed consent, experimentation on human subjects, AIDS research, embryo research and selective termination of pregnancy, euthanasia, and physician-assisted suicide. Through such cases the course introduces methods in moral reasoning, rights-based reasoning, decision-making under uncertainty, and utilitarianism in classic and contemporary normative reasoning. This course will take an approach towards biomedical ethics that is heavily informed by empirical ethics and situation-based approached to ethical considerations of biomedicine and technology.

#### II. Academic Information:

Credits: 3 credit hours Dates: (3 weeks) Jul 19 - Aug 5 (course dates) Final Assignment Due Date: August 16, 2010

## **III. Course Objectives:**

Bioethics and biomedical ethics have been the domain of analytical philosophy and to some extent theology and academic medicine. However, critical thinking, creative thinking, and reflective practice are imperative to an invigorated bioethics. This course is both 1) an introduction current bioethical issues as well as 2) an analysis of biomedical ethics itself as a historically situated way of thinking and sense-making. This course invites an overview of the history of bioethics in the West, an analysis of bioethics and its underlying assumptions, and the placement of bioethics in our contemporary society. Using a theoretical overview, a set of case-related exercises and group discussion, this course explores contemporary debates in bioethics with a view towards the ways that classical bioethics succeeds and fails in its attempt to confront a highly complex nexus of problems and opportunities afforded thorough biomedical ethics as a starting point from which to critically and creatively think about alternative approaches towards ethical problems and the importance of reflexivity in biomedical ethics.

- 1. Students will be able to identify classical concepts, theories and methods in the field of biomedical ethics.
- 2. Students will be able to analyze the role of social context in the process of ethical decision-making.
- 3. Students will be able to identify long-standing issues in biomedicine as well as contemporary

debates.

- 4. Students will be able to articulate the role of science and technology in the emergence of bioethical issue.
- 5. With a view towards reflexivity, students will be able to develop alternative and creative approaches towards bioethics.

## **IV. Course Requirements:**

The following requirements are in alignment with the specified learning outcomes outlined within this syllabus:

- 1. Course attendance and participation in the form of active participation of the discussion boards: 2. Assignments:
  - 1. A minimum of 4 discussion posts each week (a minimum of 12 posts cumulatively)
  - 2. 1 Short Paper
  - 3. 1 Collaborative Special Project

3.Completion of Course Readings:

## V. Texts:

- (*REQUIRED*) Petryna, A., Lakoff, A., & Kleinman, A. (Eds.). (2006). Global pharmaceuticals. Duke University Press.

- (*OPTIONAL*) DeVries, R., & Subedi, J. (Eds.). (1998). Bioethics and Society: Constructing the Ethical Enterprise. Prentice Hall

- (REQUIRED) All additional required readings (articles and text excerpts) are available on the course website.

## VI. Guidelines for submitting work:

With the exception of the discussion posts and the special project assignment, all other homework assignments are to be submitted by email to the instructor's email address at the top of this syllabus. See individual assignment requirements in WebCT. All remaining assignments are to be submitted using WebCT. All deadlines refer to Eastern Standard Time.

## **VII. Academic Integrity**

Each student in this course is expected to abide by the University's Code of Academic Integrity. Any work submitted by a student in this course for academic credit will be the student's own work. For this course, collaboration is allowed in the special project assignment. Students are encouraged to study together and to discuss information and concepts covered in lecture and the sections with other students. Students can give "consulting" help to or receive "consulting" help from such students. However, this permissible cooperation should never involve one student having possession of a copy of all or part of work done by someone else, in the form of an e mail, an e mail attachment file, a diskette, or a hard copy. Should copying occur, both the student who copied work from another student and the student

who gave material to be copied will both automatically receive a zero for the assignment. Penalty for violation of this Code can also be extended to include failure of the course and University disciplinary action. During examinations, you must do your own work. Talking or discussion is not permitted during the examinations, nor may you compare papers, copy from others, or collaborate in any way. Any collaborative behavior during the examinations will result in failure of the exam, and may lead to failure of the course and University disciplinary action.

## VIII. Accommodations for students with disabilities

Any student with a documented disability needing academic adjustments is requested to notify the instructor as early in the semester as possible, and must do so before the mid-term exam. Verification from disabled Student Support Services is required. All discussions will remain confidential. The Ross Center for Disability Services provides a full range of support services including: note taking, reading on tape, interpreting for students who are deaf, administering exams with accommodation to disability, counseling, and more. All students with disabilities and the entire University community are welcome to visit and inquire about our many services and resources. Online students with disabilities should contact the Ross Center if accommodation is needed. The Ross Center is located in the Campus Center; Tel: 617.287.7430.

## **IX: Withdrawal Policy:**

The last day to withdraw without academic penalty can be found by accessing the webpage associated with the university registrar. Ceasing to attend class or oral notice thereof DOES NOT constitute official withdrawal from the course. Students who simply stop attending classes without officially withdrawing usually are assigned failing grades. Students wishing to withdraw after the scheduled change period (add/drop) must obtain and complete a withdrawal form from the Academic Services Department in the Registrar's Office.

## XV. Grade Breakdown

Grade Points A 1000 – 900 PASSING GRADE B 899 -- 800 PASSING GRADE <u>C 799 – 700 PASSING GRADE ( The lowest passing grade for graduate courses at UMB is C.)</u> D/F 699 or under **FAILING** GRADE

## XII. Abbreviated Assignment Descriptions

Assignment #	Point Values	Description	Assessment Purpose	Assignment Requirements
Discussion Posts 12	50 pts each	The posts are responses to		Each post must -
All Modules have specific post deadlines.	(600 total)		sure student have a) completed the readings and b)	- Contain 400

			made in response	understandings. - Posts are	(below) I- Include a
			There will also be 4 synchronous discussion session that will be offered. They will be an opportunity to discuss the material. These sessions are OPTIONAL but important and are likely to be useful to students. The time and date of the sessions will be determined at the beginning of the class in consultation with the course students.		
Short Paper 1	200	1	These oral presentations	- I will use these papers to make	Each presentation
Deadline: August 16th	(200	) total)	presentations present the content of the secondary analysis papers (I don't need a copy of the presentation)	a) completed the readings, b) have	•

			creative thinking	<ul> <li>Make use of an image (s)</li> <li>Adhere to general writing requirements</li> </ul>
Special Project 1	200 pts each	Students must	- I will use these	Each Group
& Report		form groups and	papers to make	<b>Project Report</b>
	(200 total)	decide upon an	sure student have	e must –
August 16		ethics case. After an opportunity to		)
		deciding upon	exercise critical	- Contain 1000
		the case, student	sthinking skills	words or more
		should create a	- I will use these	- Use the
		report that	reports to assess	assigned course
		outlines their	the student	readings in the
		decision and	learning of the	justification
		provides a	course and	section of the
		justification for	program	group report.
		the decision.	objectives.	

#### **General Writing Requirements:**

- 1. All papers must adhere to APA or MLA standards both in formatting and in bibliographic citation.
- 2. Please double-space all papers, use 1 inch margins and employ one of the following fonts: Times New Roman, Times, Garamond (all 12 point) or Arial (9 point).
- 3. Students must adhere to academic honesty policies.Students must observe the word-count requirements. Quotations are not counted towards word-count minimums.The word-count (original) should be stated at the top of every written assignment submitted.
- 4. Cover pages are required for the short paper.

#### IX. Tentative Course Schedule (*This may change*)

Week	THEMES / MODULES	READINGS TO BE DISCUSSED	ASSIGNMENT / DUE DATE
Week 1 July 19- July 25	<ul><li>MODULE 1</li><li>1. What is Bioethics?</li><li>2. The History of Bioethics</li></ul>	-As Time Goes By: An Intellectual Ethnography of Bioethics- Devries, et al -Bioethics and the	Module 1 Postings due by Wednesday, July 21

Coming of the Corporation to Medicine – Devries. et al - ASPH Tuskegee Syphilis Project

#### **MODULE 2**

3. Classical concepts and approaches in bioethics

• Classical Ethical/ Philosophical Theories

> Subjectivism-Pollard (optional)

• - Moral

-On the Social

**MODULE 3** 

4. Critiques of classical **Bioethics** 

Module 3 Postings due by, July 25.

Module 2 Postings due

by, July 23.

Embeddedness of approaches and standard Bioethics – Devries, et al -Changing Society, Changing Medicine, Changing Bioethics-Devries, etal -Autonomy and Difference: - DeVries, et al - How Rational Should Bioethics be? (optional)

> • Synch ronou S Discu ssion Sessio n 1: (time TBA)

Non-required, video-based discussion session at the end of the week

Week 2 July 26- August 01 MODULE 4 **Critiques (continued)**  - The Triumph of Module 4 Postings due Autonomy in American July 28. Bioethics- in Devries et, al

	MODULE 5 <b>Case Study 1: End of</b> <b>Life Issues and Organ</b> <b>Transplantation</b>	-The Birth of the Empirical Turn in Bioethics – Borry, et al -Gillilgan "Moral Orientation and Moral Development -The Social Construction of Euthanasia and Medical Ethics in the Netherlands- in Devries, et al Gupta 'Immigrant Organ Shaving Article'	Module 5 Postings due July 30.
		Sharing Article' 'Brain Death' Margaret Lock' (optional)	
	MODULE 6 Case Study 2: Pharmaceutical Ethics	<ul> <li>The New Medical</li> <li>Oikumene – Petryna, et al</li> <li>Pharmaceutical Nexus -</li> <li>Petryna, et al</li> <li>Globalizing Human</li> <li>Subjects Research -</li> <li>Petryna, et al</li> <li>Synchronous Discussion</li> <li>Session 2: (time tba)</li> <li>Non-required,</li> <li>video-based discussion</li> <li>session at the end of the</li> <li>week</li> </ul>	Module 6 Postings due August 01
Week 3 August 01- August 05	MODULE 7 1. Access, Inequality & Bioethics	Whyte, et al – Treating AIDS – Petryna, et al Lexin and Light – Research Article	Module 7 Postings due August 03
	MODULE 8 2. Science, Technology & Bioethics	Abi-Rached – 'The implications of the new brain Sciences' Good-'Biotechnical Embrace'	Module 8 Postings due August 05
	MODULE 9 <b>3. Alternatives ways of bioethical thinking</b>	-To Enrich Bioethics, Add One Part Social to One Part Clinical, - Devries - Critical Bioethics	

 August 05
 Final Wrap – up
 Synchronous Discussion
 1. Special Project

 Session 3,4: (time tba)
 Assignments are Due by

 Non-required,
 August 08

 video-based discussion
 session at the end of the

 week
 1. Final Paper Due

August 16

XIII. Detailed Assignment Instructions

## +Module Discussion Posts

Each module will have a required, readings-based question posted in the discussion forum. There are 9 modules. Students are responsible for responding to the primary question in each module. Thus, in addition to answering the module-based discussion questions posed to the students at the end of each module, students must also create posts in response to the other students. The aims of this assignment are 1) to assess students' understandings of the readings and digestion of the concepts and 2) to foster learning through deliberation and discussion. Students may post as much as they wish! Students who post more often will get the most out of the course since discussion is central to the teaching of ethics and to ethical deliberation. Thus, students must make at least *four posts per week* in order to receive full credit (responses to the mandatory, module-based questions will comprise part of these four). The expectation is that students will post more than four times per week. Grades will be given according to one's entire week of posts (50 points per post for a total of 200 points). Out of all of students' posts in a given week, at least four must adhere to the following requirements

## Requirements

1. Each post must contain at least 400 words or more (not including quotations, paraphrases or bibliography )

- 2. Must CLEARLY STATE the word count at the top of the post
- 3. Must possess a bibliography (APA)

4. Must provide incontrovertible evidence that the student has comprehended the readings for the module and is 'thinking with' the readings via the discussion posts

5. These posts can cite only ONE external, non-assigned reading. This rule is designed to minimize Internet searches.

Grading Rubric (200 points per week / 600 points total):

1. (150 points) – The student's post provide incontrovertible evidence that the student has comprehended the readings for the module and is 'thinking with' the readings via the discussion posts.

2. (30 points) – The posts adhere to the general writing requirements, word-count requirements and adhere to standard grammatical rules.

3. (20 points) – The posts draw upon those of other students and other assigned course readings.

# +Special Project Assignment and Report

The special project assignment is a case study. Students are to break into groups and "become" a hospital-based ethics committee at a fictional hospital. The purpose of this committee is to deliberate about a specific ethical case that students will be provided. Students must examine the ethics case study provided to them and must come to a decision. The committee' must write up a decision and a justificatory statement. This five-page statement must be submitted by the deadline.

## Requirements

1. Must contain 1000 words (bibliography, cover page, appendices are not included in the word count).

2. Must CLEARLY STATE the word count at the top of the post

3. Must possess a bibliography (APA or MLA)

4. Must make use of the course readings in the justification section (the section whereby the decision is explained).

5. The Report can cite only ONE external, non-assigned reading.

Grading Rubric (200 points total):

1. (150 points) – The statement makes use of the course readings and concepts in ways that demonstrate critical thinking

2. (50 points) – The posts adhere to the general writing requirements, word-count requirements and adhere to standard grammatical rules.

## +Short Paper

This final assignment paper draws upon the final weeks of the course, devoted to revising and re-thinking traditional bioethics- especially in light of feminist ethics, considerations of social science and in thinking about the importance of context in ethical deliberation. In this assignment, students are to create their own ethical theory. Using the classical theories as inspiration (Utilitarianism, Rossian Ethics, Feminist Ethics, Natural Law, etc), create a description of this new ethical theory and show how it AVOIDS some of the limits/problems inherent in these classical theories. This is a predominantly creative exercise. Importantly, this is an opportunity to demonstrate critical thinking. Thinking about how theories are constructed (especially after we have de-constructed or critiqued these) will help students in this exercise.

## **Requirements:**

1. Must contain at least 1500 words (bibliography, cover page, appendices are not included in the word count).

2. Must possess a bibliography (APA)

3. These papers can cite only ONE external, non-assigned reading.

Grading Rubric (200 points total):

1. (90 points) - The student's paper displays understanding of the classical theories..

2. (90 points) – The paper displays real creativity in thinking about new approaches towards ethical decision-making

3. (20 points) – The posts adhere to page-minimum requirements and standard grammatical expectations.

XIV. Statement about Attendance, Class Participation and Scholarly Discourse Please Students are required and expected to participate in class discussions. Student responses to other students via the message board –serves a participatory function. It is also the case that online 'attendance' is both required and also, integral to class success. It is also the case that respect must be shown to others in the online classroom and this means that cell-phones must be silenced and classroom engagement must be courteous and respectful. Students who are not respectful or who express an inability to hear diverse viewpoints will not be able to participate in the class.

#### XVI. Statement about Late Submissions

All assignments should be submitted on time. The discussion posts, final papers and special project assignment will not be accepted past the deadline. Most of the other assignments are submitted at the discretion of the student. Please consult the instructor about any special circumstances.

#### XVIII. Contact\*\*

Please contact the instructor with any questions related to the readings, questions that relate to the course or general questions about the assignments.